

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/392,822	09/09/1999	DE CHAO YU	348022001200	1828	
7	7590 02/01/2002				
	FIELD & FRANCIS LI	LP	EXAMI	NER	
200 Middlefield Road, Suite 200 Menlo Park, CA 94025			WOITACH,	ACH, JOSEPH T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1632	21	
			DATE MAILED: 02/01/2002	$\mathcal{N}($	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





Advisory Action

Application No. 09/392,822

Joseph T. Woitach

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

1632

Yu et al.



THE REPLY FILEDanuary 25, 2002*** FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
a) $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originall set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.
3. X The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below);
(b) X they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below);
(c) Uthey are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: Amendments to remove SEQ ID NO: 1 raises issues regarding sequence compliance, and new matter in light
NOTE: <u>Amendments to remove SEQ ID NO: 1 raises issues regarding sequence compliance, and new matter in light of enablement and written description rejections of record</u>
NOTE: Amendments to remove SEQ ID NO: 1 raises issues regarding sequence compliance, and new matter in light
NOTE: <u>Amendments to remove SEQ ID NO: 1 raises issues regarding sequence compliance, and new matter in light of enablement and written description rejections of record</u>
NOTE: <u>Amendments to remove SEQ ID NO: 1 raises issues regarding sequence compliance, and new matter in light of enablement and written description rejections of record</u>
NOTE: Amendments to remove SEQ ID NO: 1 raises issues regarding sequence compliance, and new matter in light of enablement and written description rejections of record 4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a
NOTE: Amendments to remove SEQ ID NO: 1 raises issues regarding sequence compliance, and new matter in light of enablement and written description rejections of record 4. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): State of enablement and written description rejections of record 4. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): State of enablement and written description rejections of record 4. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): State of enablement and written description rejections of record 4. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): State of enablement and written description rejections of record 4. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): State of enablement and written description rejections of record 4. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): State of enablement and written description rejections of record 4. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): State of enablement and written description rejections of record 4. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): State of enablement and written description rejections of record 4. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): State of enablement and written description rejections of record 4. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): State of enablement and written description rejections of record 4. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): State of enablement and written description rejections of record 4. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): State of enablement and written description rejection(s): State of enablem
NOTE: Amendments to remove SEQ ID NO: 1 raises issues regarding sequence compliance, and new matter in light of enablement and written description rejections of record 4. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Solution
NOTE: Amendments to remove SEQ ID NO: 1 raises issues regarding sequence compliance, and new matter in light of enablement and written description rejections of record 4. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Solution
NOTE: Amendments to remove SEQ ID NO: 1 raises issues regarding sequence compliance, and new matter in light of enablement and written description rejections of record 4. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
NOTE: Amendments to remove SEQ ID NO: 1 raises issues regarding sequence compliance, and new matter in light of enablement and written description rejections of record 4. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Solution
NOTE: Amendments to remove SEQ ID NO: 1 raises issues regarding sequence compliance, and new matter in light of enablement and written description rejections of record 4. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):

DEBORAH CROUCH PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 1800 /630 Part of Paper No. 21